IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF
ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.APPA RAO

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.1913 OF 2007

Dated : February 20, 2007

Between:

Rudra Venkataiah

Petitioner

AND

The Station House Officer,
Chintakommadinne Police Station,
Kadapa District and others

Respondents

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.APPA RAO

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.1913 OF 2007

ORAL ORDER: **(per THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA)**

Heard the learned Government Pleader for Home,

representing the learned Advocate General, appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a 'Telegram', dated 24.01.2007, by one Rudra Venkataiah, D.No.4/395-1, Vivekananda Nagar, Kadapa District, to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, alleging that on 19.01.2007, her daughter by name Rudra Sireesha, was kidnapped by one Jangili Venkata Sudheer.

3. In response to the notice, issued by this Court, the respondent No.1-the Inspector of Police, Kadapa Rural Police Station, field counter-affidavit stating, *inter alia*, that on the basis of a complaint by the petitioner, a case in Crime No.12 of 2007 under the head 'Girl Missing' was registered on 21.01.2007 and investigation was taken up; that the during the course of investigation, the missing girl along with the suspected accused Jangili Venkata Sudheer had approached the Sub-Inspector of Police, Chintakommadinne Police Station and stated that they got married and they have been living happily; that she left the house voluntarily and there was absolutely no force from the said Jangili Venakata Sudheer for marriage with him and that the statement of the alleged missing girl was also recorded and filed along with the counter-affidavit.

4. It is further stated in the counter-affidavit that a report was submitted to the Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Kadapa, stating that the missing girl and the suspected accused, who are majors, were traced and a request was made to issue proceedings to drop further action in this matter. It is also brought to the notice of the Court that the said fact of the missing girl approaching the Police,

Chintakommadinne Police Station, along with one Mr. Jangili Venkata Sudheer, was already informed to the petitioner, who is no other than the father of the missing girl, on 18.02.2007.

5. In view of the revelations in the counter-affidavit, we do not find any merit in the allegations leveled in the writ petition, the same fails and is liable to be closed.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is closed, at the stage of admission. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

_____

D.S.R.Varma, J.

_____

D.Appa Rao, J.

January 20, 2007
MAS